ORIGINAL

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 **COMMISSIONERS** KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY 5 **BOB STUMP** 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 RADICAL BUNNY, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company, 9 HORIZON PARTNERS, L.L.C., an Arizona limited 10 liability company, 11 TOM HIRSCH (aka TOMAS N. HIRSCH) and DIANE ROSE HIRSCH, husband and wife, 12 BERTA FRIEDMAN WALDER (aka BUNNY 13 WALDER), a married person, 14 HOWARD EVAN WALDER, a married person, 15 HARISH PANNALAL SHAH and MADHAVI H. SHAH, husband and wife,

2010 MAY 19 A 9:01

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKET NO. S-20660A-09-0107

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 1 9 2010

DOCKETED BY M

Respondents.

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 12, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing against Radical Bunny, L.L.C., Horizon Partners, L.L.C., Tom Hirsch (aka Tomas N. Hirsch), Berta Friedman Walder (aka Bunny Walder), Howard Evan Walder, Harish Pannalal Shah, and Madhavi H. Shah, in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of notes and investment contracts.

On March 26, 2009, a request for hearing was filed on behalf of Horizon Partners, L.L.C., Tom Hirsch, Diane Rose Hirsch, Berta Friedman Walder, Howard Evan Walder, Harish Pannalal Shah and Madhavi H. Shah ("Respondents").

On November 3, 2009, the procedural conference was held to discuss procedural issues,

including hearing dates. The Securities Division estimated that at least 25 days of hearing would be required for this case. The parties were encouraged to engage in discussions to see whether a settlement could be reached in this case, and it was agreed that a date for hearing should be established.

On November 3, 2009, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling the hearing to commence on March 8, 2010.

On February 8, 2010, Respondents filed a Motion for Continuance and a Stipulation and Motion for Substitution of Counsel.

On February 10, 2010, the Division filed its Response to the Motion for Substitution of Counsel and its Response to the Motion for Continuance.

On February 18, 2010, Respondents filed their Reply on the Motion for Continuance and also filed another Stipulation and Motion for Substitution of Counsel.

On February 19, 2010, the Division filed its Response to Stipulation and Motion for Substitution of Counsel, indicating no objection.

On February 26, 2010, by Procedural Order, the hearing was continued from March 8, 2010 to June 2, 2010.

On April 28, 2010, the Commission issued Decision No. 71682, a Consent Order against Respondent Radical Bunny, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company.

On April 30, 2010, a Motion of Summary Judgment or to Dismiss (Oral Argument Requested) ("Motion"); a Statement of Facts; and a Declaration of Tom Hirsch were filed on behalf of the Respondents.

On May 10, 2010, the Division filed its Response to the Motion.

Accordingly, oral argument on the Motion shall be held.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that oral argument shall be held during the Procedural Conference on May 25, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as is practicable at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room 1, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the

. 1	Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
2	at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
3	scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
4	
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
6	Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the
7	Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
9	amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
10	ruling at hearing.
11	DATED this day of May, 2010.
12	\mathcal{L} . \mathcal{I}
13	LYN FARMER
14	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this
16	
17	Michael J. LaVelle Matthew K. LaVelle
18	LAVELLE & LAVELLE, PLC
19	2525 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 888 Phoenix, AZ 85016
20	Attorney for Respondents
21	Matt Neubert, Director Securities Division
22	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
23	1300 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
24	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
25	2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481
26	
27	By: Debbi Person
28	Assistant to Lyn Farmer